## Problem Set 6: Due Monday, September 22

Note: In Problems 1 and 4, please underline or write in a different color the parts that go into the blanks.

**Problem 1:** Fill in the blanks below with appropriate phrases so that the result is a correct proof of the statement following statement: If  $\vec{u}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\vec{w} \in Span(\vec{u})$ , then  $Span(\vec{w}) \subseteq Span(\vec{u})$ .

Let  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{w})$  be arbitrary. Since  $\vec{w} \in Span(\vec{u})$ , we can \_\_\_\_\_\_. Since  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{w})$ , we can \_\_\_\_\_. Now notice that  $\vec{v} =$ \_\_\_\_\_. Since \_\_\_\_\_ $\in \mathbb{R}$ , we conclude that  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{u})$ . Since  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{w})$  was arbitrary, the result follows.

**Problem 2:** Given  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , is the set  $Span(\vec{u})$  always closed under componentwise multiplication? In other words, if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} \in Span(\vec{u}) \quad \text{ and } \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Span(\vec{u}),$$

must it be the case that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 \\ b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Span(\vec{u})?$$

Either argue that this is always true, or provide a specific counterexample (with justification).

**Problem 3:** Let  $\vec{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and let  $\vec{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . a. Show that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

- b. Find the coordinates of  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  relative to  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$ . In other words, calculate  $Coord_{(\vec{u}_1,\vec{u}_2)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ .
- c. Find the coordinates of  $\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 17 \end{pmatrix}$  relative to  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$ . In other words, calculate  $Coord_{(\vec{u}_1,\vec{u}_2)} \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 17 \end{pmatrix}$ . In each part, briefly explain how you carried out your computation.

**Problem 4:** In this problem we work through the proof of Proposition 2.9 in the notes, which says the following: Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The following are equivalent.

- 1.  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = Span(\vec{u}_1)$ .
- 2.  $\vec{u}_2 \in Span(\vec{u}_1)$ .

Fill in the blanks below with appropriate phrases so that the result is a correct proof:

We first show that 1 implies 2. Assume then that 1 is true, so assume that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = Span(\vec{u}_1)$ . Notice that  $\vec{u}_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_. Since \_\_\_\_\_\_  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $\vec{u}_2 \in Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$ . Since  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = Span(\vec{u}_1)$ , we conclude that \_\_\_\_\_.

We now show that 2 implies 1. Assume then that 2 is true, so assume that  $\vec{u}_2 \in Span(\vec{u}_1)$ . By definition, we can \_\_\_\_\_\_. To show that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = Span(\vec{u}_1)$ , we give a double containment proof.

- Using Proposition \_\_\_\_\_\_, we know immediately that  $Span(\vec{u}_1) \subseteq Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$ .
- We now show that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) \subseteq Span(\vec{u}_1)$ . Let  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$  be arbitrary. By definition we can \_\_\_\_\_. Notice that  $\vec{v}$  =\_\_\_\_. Since \_\_\_\_\_  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{u}_1)$ . Since  $\vec{v} \in Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) \subseteq Span(\vec{u}_1)$ .

Since we haves shown both  $Span(\vec{u}_1) \subseteq Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$  and  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) \subseteq Span(\vec{u}_1)$ , we conclude that  $Span(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = Span(\vec{u}_1).$